

[2025:JHHC:18115]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5469 of 2025

Karam Pal Munda @ Karam Pal Patar Munda, aged about 30
years, Son of Sri Shambhu Patar Munda @ Shambhu Nath Patar,
Resident of Village- Bara Changru, P.O.- Banta Hazam, P.S.- Silli,
District- Ranchi (Jharkhand) Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Achinto Sen, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 07-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Silli P.S. Case No.04 of 2022 (S.T. Case No.459 of 2022) registered under Section 366/34, 302/34 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner kidnapped the minor daughter of the informant, committed her murder and caused disappearance of the evidence of the murder. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is further submitted that so far seven witnesses have been examined during the trial and they have supported the case of the prosecution. It is next submitted that there is no eye-witness to the occurrence. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 19.01.2022. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner and the overwhelming evidence that has come through the examination of the witnesses during the trial, there is every chance of the petitioner absconding and tampering with the evidence if released on bail. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner ought not be released on bail at this stage.

Considering the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner as well as his chance of absconding and tampering with the evidence, this Court is of the considered view that this is not a fit case where the above-named petitioner be released on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the above-named petitioner is rejected.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Animesh/