

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 3020 of 2016

(Sujata Kujur Vs. the State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

CORAM: THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. S.N.PATHAK
(Through: Video Conferencing)

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mahesh Tewari Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Indranil Bhaduri, SC-IV

I.A. No. 4522 of 2016 (in W.P.S. No. 3020 of 2016)

07/ 22.02.2022 Instant interlocutory application has been preferred for amendment in the prayer portion of the main writ application. The proposed amendment has been made in para-4 of the I.A.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that if the proposed amendment is not allowed, the petitioner will suffer irreparable loss and as such, for the ends of justice, petitioner may be permitted to carry-out the proposed amendment in the prayer portion of the main writ application.

Learned counsel appearing for the respondent has no objection to the same.

In view of fair submission of learned counsel for the parties, I.A. No. 4522 of 2016 stands allowed.

W.P.S. No. 3020 of 2016

Petitioner is directed to file amended writ petition within a period of two weeks.

Let a copy of the amended writ petition be served upon the learned counsel for the respondent-State, who shall in turn file its response within a further period of four weeks.

(Dr. S.N. Pathak, J.)