

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2987 of 2026

Preeti Mishra alias Priti Mishra @ Preeti Kumari, aged
about 32 years, D/o Late Prakash Mishra, Resident of
157, Apna Market, Jainamore, P.O. Bandhdih, P.S.-
Jaridih, District Bokaro.

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... ... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner : Ms. Trishna Sagar, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Bandana Sinha, A.P.P.

03/ 10.06.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P
for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending her arrest in connection with
Balidih P.S. Case No. 97 of 2019 corresponding to G.R. No. 1202 of
2025, registered for the offence under Sections 306 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code, pending in the court of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial
Magistrate, Bokaro.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that
the allegations are made that the daughter of the informant has
committed suicide. She next submits that the petitioner was residing at
Bengaluru and only because she has talked with the deceased, she has
been falsely implicated in this case. She further submits that petitioner
has not sent up for trial, however, the learned court after differing with
the final form, has taken the cognizance against the petitioner. She then
submits that in the final form, it has come that the due to
misconception, the present case has been lodged. She also submits that
the other co-accused has already been provided the privilege of
anticipatory bail in A.B.A. No. 1899 of 2026 by this court.

4. Learned A.P.P. appearing for the State has opposed the prayer and submits that the allegations are there that the petitioner has talked with the deceased.

5. Considering that only allegation is made against the petitioner of talking with the deceased on phone, however, what role played by the petitioner to instigate the deceased to take such steps is not disclosed in the FIR and even in the order taking cognizance and further the learned court after differing with the final form has taken the cognizance and the other co-accused has already been provided the privilege of anticipatory bail in the aforementioned A.B.A. by this court, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, above named.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner, named above, is directed to surrender before the learned court within three weeks from today and in the event of her surrender / arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bokaro, in connection with Balidih P.S. Case No. 97 of 2019 corresponding to G.R. No. 1202 of 2025, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-10.06.2026
Amitesh/-