

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3602 of 2025

 Rohit Singh @ Mansu Singh @ Manshu Singh, S/o Late Hareram Singh, R/o
 Chopadaru, P.S. Gola, P.O. Maganpur, District- Ramgarh (Jharkhand)

.... **Petitioner(s).**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... **Opp. Party(s).**

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

 For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Sahahood Alam, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Addl. P.P.

.....
 04/ 08.07.2025: Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for
 the State.

2. This is an application filed by the petitioner praying for grant of anticipatory bail in terms of under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Surksha Sanhita, 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest for offences under Sections 47(a), 52(d) and 55 of Excise Act.

3. The learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is absolutely innocent and witnesses are also only the police officials. He further submits that raid was conducted at night and it is not possible for one person like petitioner to indulge in the said occurrence.

5. After hearing the parties, I find that there is allegation that the petitioner was illegally selling Indian made foreign liquor and Mahua liquor. 30 bottles of Mc. Dowell's No.1 English liquor and 05 liters Mahuwa liquor was also recovered. It is alleged that bottles did not contain hologram of the Jharkhand Government. This suggests malpractice. Thus, I find that this is not a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to entertain the anticipatory bail application. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner in connection with Complaint (Excise) Case No.300 of 2024,

pending in the Court of learned J.M.F.C., Ramgarh, stands rejected.

7. Petitioner is directed to appear before the concerned Court, and pray for regular bail.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

R.S./