

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 222 of 2015

Sangita Kumari

... .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors.

... .. Respondent(s)

W.P.(S) No. 293 of 2015

Nisha Kumari

... .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Ors.

... .. Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s)

: Mr. Manoj Tandon, Advocate
Mr. Siddharth Ranjan, Advocate
Mr. Karamjit S. Chhabra, Advocate
Ms. Akanksha Priya, Advocate
Mr. Deepak Kr. Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Shubham Mishra, Advocate
Mr. Kunal Chandra Suman, AC to GP-II

Order No.10 /Dated: 4th September 2025

It appears that for the purpose of regularization in pursuance to Annexure-2 which is the Regularization Rule dated 30.01.2014 the respondents have adjusted the reserved quota candidate in the general category and as a result thereof; the reserved seats remained vacant, inasmuch as, in the reserved quota there were 61 seats for Scheduled Caste, whereas they have regularized only six candidates and as a result thereof 55 seats remained vacant.

By going through the list in the same Annexure-8 it is evident that many BC-II, BC-I, SC candidates have been regularized under general category. This action appears to be either misconceived or with some ulterior motives. The aforesaid view *prima facie* is due to the fact that so far as Regularization Rule dated 30.01.2014, there was no such criteria as mentioned in clause 4 of the said rule.

Pursuant to the earlier order, a supplementary affidavit has been

filed on the point that except in the district of Palamau in all other district the said regularization policy has been duly followed and only in the case of Palamau a new formula has been initiated by the competent authority which does not find place in the regularization policy which has been issued in accordance with Article 309 of the Constitution of India.

Accordingly, on this aspect, learned counsel for the respondents is directed to satisfy this Court and decide the *lis* involved in this case. It is also necessary to see the result of the candidates who have been regularized and/or rejected. As such, learned counsel for the respondents would be ready with the original record on the next date of hearing along with a responsible officer in order to answer the queries which may arise on the next date of hearing.

List these cases on 18.09.2025 at 2:15 PM.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

Amit