

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S). No. 222 of 2015

(Sangita Kumari Vs. the State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

with

W.P.(S). No. 293 of 2015

(Nisha Kumari Vs. the State of Jharkhand & Ors.)

CORAM : HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE S.N. PATHAK

For the Petitioners : Mr. Manoj Tandon, Advocate

Mr. Deepak Kr. Prasad, Advocate

Ms. Neha Bhardwaj, Advocate

For the Resp. : Ms. Shilpi, AC to SC(M)

06/ 05.02.2024 Heard the parties at length.

It has been brought to the notice of the Court by learned counsel for the petitioners that in other districts of State of Jharkhand, reservation policy has not been taken into consideration while regularizing the services of ANMs but it is only in the District of Palamau, the respondents have adopted reservation policy and on *non est* ground they have turned down the cases of petitioners.

Under such circumstances, the respondent-State is directed to file a specific affidavit stating therein as to whether the reservation policy has been adopted in other Districts of Jharkhand also while considering the case of ANMs for regularization of their service or whether the same was adopted only in the District of Palamau.

Let both the cases be listed on 19.02.2024, under the same heading.

(Dr. S. N. Pathak, J.)