

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
F.A. No. 23 of 2015

Hari Prasad Agrawal Appellant
Versus
Sanjay Chaudhary & Others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMITAV K. GUPTA

For the Appellant : Mr. Rahul Gupta, Advocate
Mr. Amar Nath Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent Nos. 11,12,16,19 & 20: Mr. Arbind Kr. Sinha,
Advocate
For the Respondent Nos. 7(a) and 7(b) : Mr. A.K. Pathak, Advocate
For the Respondent Nos. 3, 4, 5, 9, 10,
14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34 & 35: Mr. A. Mishra, Advocate

17/Dated: 31/03/2016

It transpires from the office note that notice has been validly served upon respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 30.

Learned counsel appearing for the appellant has submitted that due to inadvertence the name of Akhilesh Kumar Singh has been mentioned as Ablesh Kumar Singh as the substituted legal heir of deceased respondent No.7, hence, seeks permission to make necessary correction in the cause title.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 7(a) and 7(b) has submitted that due to inadvertence he has mentioned the respondent No. 7(a) as 7(ii) and 7(b) as 7(i) in the vakalatnama, hence he seeks permission to carry out necessary correction in the vakalatnama.

Permission accorded to the counsel for the appellant as well as counsel for the respondents to carry out necessary correction in course of the day.

Learned counsel for the appellant shall take fresh steps for service of notice upon respondent No. 7(c) to 7(f) and 8(a) to 8(f), on the present and correct address under ordinary process for which requisites, etc., must be filed within one week.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sinha, learned counsel on behalf of the respondent Nos. 11, 12, 16, 19 and 20 is present.

Mr. A. Mishra, learned counsel on behalf of respondent Nos. 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 32, 34 & 35 is also present.

Put up this case on 30.06.2016.

Till then, interim order dated 12.02.2016 shall continue.

(Amitav K. Gupta, J.)