

She submits that so far as other respondents are concerned, for whom she is appearing, she is neither in contact with any one of them nor they have tried to contact her.

5. Learned counsel for the appellants has submitted that, it is respondent No. 6 who has expired, but so far as respondent No. 5 is concerned, nothing has been mentioned in the interlocutory application. He submits that the details of proposed legal heirs and successors of respondent No. 6 have been mentioned in paragraph 7 of the interlocutory application.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions, **I.A. No. 7217 of 2025** seeking substitution of respondent No. 6 is hereby *allowed*. The abatement is also set-aside and the delay in filing the substitution petition is also condoned.

7. Learned counsel for the appellants is directed to delete the name of respondent No. 6 from the cause title and insert the name of his legal heirs and successors in red ink during the course of the day.

8. At this, Mr. Akhouri Prakhar Sinha, Advocate submits that it is all likely that legal heirs and successors of respondent No. 6 would approach him to file *Vakalatnama* in this case and therefore he submits that the matter be adjourned for few weeks.

9. Pursuant to the order dated 18.06.2025, no affidavit has been filed by the respondents.

10. In view of the aforesaid submissions of the learned counsel for the respondent No. 5, let notice be issued to the respondent No. 5 through the concerned police station, for which requisites be filed by the appellants within a period of one week.

11. Post this case on 1st December 2025.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Mukul/-