

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**

**F.A. No. 23 of 2015**

**With**

**I.A. No. 95 of 2016**

**With**

**I.A. No. 917 of 2015**

Kamlesh Kumar Jindal & Others

**... Appellants**

**-Versus-**

Sanjay Chaudhary & Others

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI**

For the Appellants

: Mr. A.K. Sahani, Advocate

For the Respondents

: Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate

Mr. Arbind Kumar Sinha, Advocate

26/28.11.2019. **I.A. No. 95 of 2016**

I.A. No. 95 of 2016 has been filed for granting injunction restraining the respondents from interfering with the possession of the appellants over the suit property or from changing the topographical position of the suit property.

Mr. A.K. Sahani, learned counsel appearing for the appellants submits that this First Appeal has already been admitted on 02.03.2015 and, thereafter vide order dated 12.02.2016, this Court directed both the parties to maintain *status quo*. Thereafter, that order was extended from time to time and lastly it was extended upto 11.08.2016.

Mr. Arbind Kumar Sinha and Mr. Indrajit Sinha, learned counsel appearing for the respondents have vehemently opposed the prayer for extension of *status quo* order. They submit that after 11.08.2016, such order was not extended and that is why there is no cause of action for further extension of that order. Mr. Arbind Kumar Sinha submits that there is nothing adverse at the disputed site, which is to be reported to this Court.

In view of the above facts and submission of the learned counsel for the parties, particularly the submission of Mr. Sinha that no activity against the suit property is going on, the *status quo* order dated 12.02.2016 shall continue, till final disposal of this First Appeal.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 95 of 2016 stands allowed and disposed of.

**I.A. No. 917 of 2015**

Since I.A. No. 917 of 2015 has been filed with the same prayer and I.A. No. 95 of 2016 has been filed later on and in I.A. No. 95 of 2016, status quo order is extended, I.A. No. 917 of 2015 has become infructuous.

Accordingly, I.A. No. 917 of 2015 stands dismissed as infructuous.

**F.A. No. 23 of 2015**

Mr. Arbind Kumar Sinha, learned counsel appearing for some of the respondents is at liberty to come forward with proper application for early hearing of this First Appeal.

**(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)**

*Ajay/*