

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 691 of 2018

1. Mani Bhushan Jha			
2. Sanjay Kumar Jha			
3. Shanti Devi	...	...	Petitioners
Versus			
1. The State of Jharkhand			
2. Arti Jha	...	...	Opp. Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioners	: Mr. Rahul Kumar Das, Advocate
For the State	: A.P.P.

05/04.12.2018 It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioners that the order dated 24.03.2018 suffers from paucity of reasons inasmuch as the complainant was never examined before charge and the rest of the witnesses on the basis of whose statement discharge application has been rejected are related to the complainant and are hearsay witnesses. Learned counsel submits that initially a First Information Report was instituted which ended in final form and on a protest petition which was treated as a compliant petition cognizance was taken. It has, therefore, been submitted that the impugned order dated 24.03.2018 deserves to be quashed and set aside.

Issue notice to the opposite party No. 2 as to why this application shall not be admitted and/or disposed of at the admission stage itself, for which, requisite etc. under registered cover with A/D as well as under ordinary process be filed by 11.12.2018.

List this case after appearance of the opposite party no. 2 under the heading "For Admission".

Till the next date of listing, there shall be stay of the proceeding in connection with Complaint Case No. 1224 of 2012 pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ranchi.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)