

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
C.M.P. No. 489 of 2024

1. Soniya Devi, aged about 73 years, W/o-Late Raj Kumar Baitha
2. Ritesh Kumar, aged about 30 years,
3. Pankaj Kumar, aged about 28 years,
All resident of Vill-Satbarwa, P.O. Satbarwa, P.S.-Daltonganj, Dist. Palamau
4. Neha Kumari, aged about 25 years, W/o-Sunil Kumar, D/o Late Raj Kumar Baitha, R/o-Vill-Manika, P.O. & P.S.-Manika, Dist.-Latehar

... ..

Petitioners

Versus

1. Ram Naresh Rajak
2. Suresh Rajak
Both son of Ranresh Baitha
3. Vijay Kumar Rajak, Son of Late Ram Blash Baitha
All resident of Vill-Satbarwa, P.O. Satbarwa, P.S.-Daltonganj, Dist. Palamau
4. Kumari Anjali, W/o-Bhupendra Kumar, R/o-Vill, P.S. & P.S.-Nabinagar, Dist.-Aurangabad (Bihar)
5. Pratima Devi, W/o-Mahendra Kr. Rajak, R/o-Vill, P.S. & P.S.-Nabinagar, Dist.-Aurangabad (Bihar)
Manisha Kumari, D/o-Late Raj Kumar Baitha, resident of Vill-Satbarwa, P.O. Satbarwa, P.S.-Daltonganj, Dist. Palamau

... .. **Opp. Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Petitioners : Mr. Niraj Kumar Singh, Advocate
 For the Opp. Parties :

Order No. 07/ Dated: 27th November, 2025

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. The instant C.M.P. has been preferred by the petitioners with a prayer for extension of time of the order dated 07.12.2023 passed in W.P.(C) No. 1321 of 2016 whereby petitioners were directed to deposit the cost of Rs. 1000/- before the learned trial court, within three months, failing which, the said cost shall be realized through process of the Court.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the said cost could not be deposited within time due to inadvertence, illiteracy and incorrect advice, hence, he prays for extension of time to deposit the said cost.

4. I have perused the order dated 07.12.2023 passed in W.P.(C) No. 1321 of 2016 and it appears that there is no reason to interfere with the said order as there is specific observation of this Court that if the said cost is not paid within time, it would be realized through the process of the court.

5. Therefore, this Civil Misc. Petition is not justified under law and accordingly, the instant petition is dismissed.

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

27.11.2025

Basant

Uploaded on 28/11/2025