

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 1625 of 2017

Naveen Anshu @ Navin Anshu. ..Petitioner
Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. Tarun Gupta. ..Opposite Parties

Coram: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. B.M. Tripathy, Sr. Advocate
For the State : A.P.P..
For O.P. No. 2 : Mr. S.K. Singh, Advocate

05/19-3-2018 It has been submitted by the learned senior counsel for the petitioner that the dispute is purely civil in nature as with respect to the land in question, a title suit is already pending being Title Suit No. 197 of 2000. It has further been submitted that the FIR, which was earlier instituted being Bariatu P.S. Case No. 391 of 2010 resulted in submission of final form and on protest petition having been filed by the O.P. No. 2, same was treated to be a complaint petition and after enquiry, cognizance was taken and the petitioner was summoned to face the trial. It has also been submitted that in the impugned order dated 11.9.2017 refusing to discharge the petitioner for the offence under sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, no specific reason has been assigned and mere reference has been made with respect to some of the witnesses, who have supported the complaint case.

Mr. S.K. Singh, learned counsel for O.P. No. 2, prays for and is allowed four weeks' time to file a counter affidavit.

List this case after four weeks under the heading "For Admission.

Until further orders, there shall be stay of the proceeding in connection with Complaint Case No. 1011 of 2011 arising out of Bariatu P.S. Case No. 391 of 2010, pending in the court of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st class at Ranchi.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

Rakesh/-