

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 424 of 2024

Mr. Anvil Cables Private Limited Petitioner

Versus

State of Jharkhand and others	...	...	Opp. Parties
-------------------------------	-----	-----	--------------

With

Cont. Case (Civil) No. 425 of 2024, Cont. Case (Civil) No. 428 of 2024, Cont. Case (Civil) No. 429 of 2024, Cont. Case (Civil) No. 430 of 2024 and Cont. Case (Civil) No. 551 of 2024.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUJIT NARAYAN PRASAD
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR RAI**

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. M.S. Mittal, Sr. Advocate;
Mr. Salona Mittal, Advocate
For the JBVNL : Mr. Ashok Kumar Yadav, Sr. S.C.
For the JBOCWW Board : Mr. Krishna Murari, Advocate;
Mr. Ritesh Kr. Pathak, Advocate;
Mr. Jayesh Anand, Advocate

Order No.22/Dated: 2nd February, 2026

1. Reference may be made to the order dated 09.01.2026.
2. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, affidavits have been filed on behalf of respective parties, i.e., functionary of Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and Labour Cess Board.
3. We have gone through the aforesaid affidavits and found that both are shifting their accountability in making payment of the amount as has been directed to be paid, i.e., by way of Simple Interest @ 6% P/A. The principle amount has already been paid in favour of the petitioner which is not in dispute.
4. Now, the only thing which is the subject matter of this case is non-payment of simple interest @ 6% P/A.
5. Mr. M.S.Mittal, the learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that principle amount although has been paid by Jharkhand Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited (JBVNL) but it is after taking money from the Labour Cess Board.
6. This Court, therefore, is of the view that the order although has been complied with so far as making payment of principle amount after taking it from Labour Cess Board who on receipt of the said amount has also acted upon on the basis of the requisition made by the JBVNL by transmitting

the amount in the account of JBVNL for its onwards transmission in favour of the petitioner but the interest @ 6% P/A which is also part of the same order dated 09.1.2026 as the order has been passed by this Court for making payment of the principle amount along with interest @ 6% P/A but that part of the order has not been complied with by functionary of both the establishments.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the view that whatever stand has been taken in the show-cause filed by the respective parties is to be rejected and, accordingly, it is rejected.

I.A. No.17411 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 424 of 2024

I.A. No. 17414 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 425 of 2024

I.A. No. 17454 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 428 of 2024

I.A. No. 17413 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 429 of 2024

I.A. No. 17412 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 430 of 2024

I.A. No. 17452 of 2025 in Cont. Case No. 551 of 2024

8. The above interlocutory applications have been filed by the Jharkhand Building and Other Construction Worker's Board to implead it by way of intervenor in the proceeding.
9. We are conscious that in the matter of contempt there is no provision for impleadment of parties by filing an intervention application but in the facts of the present case it is admitted fact that the Jharkhand Building and Other Construction Worker's Board is the necessary party.
10. Mr. M.S. Mittal, the learned senior counsel has submitted that Jharkhand Building and Other Construction Worker's Board through Chairman –cum- Commissioner may be impleaded as O.P. No.6 which has not been objected by Mr. Krishna Murari who is pressing the present interlocutory applications on behalf of the Labour Cess Board and, as such, the Chairman-cum-Commissioner, Jharkhand Building and Other Construction Worker's Board is impleaded as O.P. No.6.
11. Let necessary addition in the cause-title be carried out by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner during course of the day.
12. The above interlocutory applications are disposed of.

- 13.Mr.Krishna Murari, the learned counsel has submitted that the present interlocutory applications have been disposed of by impleading the Chairman-cum-Commissioner, Jharkhand Building and Other Construction Worker's Board as party to the proceeding and, as such, he has sought for an adjournment.
- 14.But we are not accepting the aforesaid argument reason being that on 09.1.2026 when the matter has been heard by the coordinate Bench of this Court, Mr. Krishna Murari has well-represented the Labour Cess Board as would be evident from the order dated 09.1.2026, meaning thereby, he is well conscious and not only he rather the authority which he is representing is also conscious with respect to the order dated 09.1.2026.
- 15.It is unfortunate that although the further impleadment of the opposite parties have been made by order dated 24.11.2025 as has been pointed out by the learned counsel(s) appearing for the parties by making addition of O.P. Nos. 4 and 5 but there is no addition in the cause-title of the present contempt case.
- 16.The learned Registrar General is directed to call for a report from the Deputy Registrar of the concerned section regarding the slackness having been committed by the office concerned and submit a report to that effect.
- 17.One more opportunity is being granted to the respondents for filing compliance affidavit.
- 18.Let these matters be listed on 17.02.2026.

(Sujit Narayan Prasad, J.)

(Arun Kumar Rai, J.)