

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Civil) No. 1010 of 2025

Mahadeo Oraon, S/o Late Bhukhla Oraon, R/o Madhukam
Ratu Road, P.O.-Hehal, P.S.-Sukhdeo Nagar, District-
Ranchi Petitioner

-Versus-

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Ghanshayam Kumar, Circle Officer, Hehal, Ranchi
..... Opp. Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH SHANKAR

For the Petitioner :	Mr. Atul Rai, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2 :	Md. Shahabuddin, S.C.-VII
	Mr. Suraj Prakash, AC to SC-VII
For the Intervenors:	Mr. Gaurav Raj, Advocate

Order No.05

Date: 13.02.2026

1. Though the present contempt case was ordered to be listed on 20.02.2026, the same is being taken up today on the mentioning made by the applicants of I.A. No. 2413 of 2026, seeking intervention in the present contempt case.
2. Learned counsel for the applicants, while referring different paragraphs of the aforesaid interlocutory application, submits that subsequent to dismissal of C.M.P. No. 201 of 2017 vide order dated 05.12.2018, the applicants paid considerable amount to the petitioner by way of settlement so as to allow them to remain in possession of the land in question. Despite that, the petitioner insisted for the applicants' dispossession from the said land.
3. It is also submitted that vide order dated 16.01.2026 passed in the present contempt case, the opposite party

no. 2-Circle Officer, Hehal, Ranchi was granted four weeks' time by way of last indulgence to file compliance affidavit in pursuance of which, the said opposite party has been taking coercive steps for vacating the applicants from their respective houses situated over the land in question. Under the said compelling circumstance, the applicants have filed the present interlocutory application to bring on record the subsequent developments which have taken place in the matter.

4. On perusal of the order dated 22.10.2024 passed in W.P. (C) No. 5461 of 2024, non-compliance of which has been alleged by the petitioner in the present contempt petition, it appears that the opposite party No. 2 was directed to take up the matter, to issue notices to the concerned persons i.e., Shanti Devi & others and Mohan Sao & others (the applicants/intervenors) and on verifying the fact that the orders passed in C.W.J.C. No. 2383 of 1999(R), L.P.A. No. 341 of 2009 with L.P.A. No. 342 of 2009 and C.M.P. No. 201 of 2017 have not been set aside, the possession of the land was directed to be handed over to the petitioner in accordance with law.
5. No show cause affidavit has been filed on behalf of the opposite party No. 2. However, vide order dated 16.01.2026, while considering I.A. No. 11663 of 2025 filed on behalf of the said opposite party, he was granted four

weeks' time by way of last indulgence to file compliance affidavit.

6. Under the aforesaid change in circumstances as has been brought on record by the applicants of I.A. No. 2413 of 2026, the opposite party No. 2 is directed to file a show cause affidavit specifically stating as to whether in compliance of the order dated 22.10.2024, the applicants were issued notices. If so, whether the applicants were given opportunity to produce the documents in support of the fact that they had paid considerable amount by way of settlement to the petitioner and as to whether any such order was passed by him before taking steps for evicting the applicants from the said land.
7. Put up this case under the heading "**For Orders**" on 27.02.2026.
8. Since the Court is seized of the issue raised by the applicants/intervenors of I.A. No. 2413 of 2026 regarding payment of considerable amount to the petitioner by way of settlement, the opposite party No. 2 shall stay his hands from taking further coercive steps against the petitioner with respect to the land in question.

(Rajesh Shankar, J.)

13.02.2026
Vikas/