

Rahul Kumar Gupta	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
1. The State of Jharkhand			
2. Rinky Devi	...		Opposite Parties

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shailesh Kr. Singh, Advocate
For the State : APP

Criminal Revision Filing No. 10574 of 2025

Defects No. 55 and 64, as pointed out by the office are ignored.

I.A. No. 13554 of 2025

This application has been preferred by the petitioner for exemption to surrender in terms of the Rule 159 of the Jharkhand High Court Rules. The reason which has been assigned in the instant application is that the petitioner is an acid attack victim and it has been submitted that on account of such acid attack, there has been disfigurement in the face of the petitioner and he has also suffered several grievous injuries as could be deciphered from the impugned appellate court judgment dated 06.03.2018.

Regard being had to the fact that the petitioner is an acid attack victim which is strengthened by the medical report of PW-7 in the trial against the petitioner wherein several grievous injuries were found on the person of the present petitioner, I am inclined to allow this application.

Accordingly, the petitioner is exempted to surrender before the learned trial court.

I.A. stands disposed.

Consequent to the above, the defect No. 13 be stand ignored.

Criminal Revision Filing No. 10574 of 2025

Issue notice to the opposite party No. 2 as to why this application shall not be admitted and/or disposed of at the admission stage itself for

which requisite etc. under speed post as well as by ordinary process must be filed by 12.06.2026.

Let this case be listed after notice is served upon the opposite parties No. 2.

In the meantime, call for the trial court records.

(RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY,J.)

04.05.2026
MK