

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No. 2250 of 2022

--

Rajeev Kumar Singh, Aged about 45 years son of Sri Kamleshwar Prasad Singh, resident of Village Kenwaria, P.O.-Ranidih, P.S. Karon & Sub-Division & District-Deoghar, Jharkhand.**Petitioner**

Versus

1. The Steel Authority of India Limited through its Chairman, Ispat Bhawan, New Delhi. P. O.& P.S. -New Delhi
2. The Chairman, Steel Authority of India Limited, Ispat Bhawan New Delhi. P.O & P.S. New Delhi
3. Bokaro Steel Limited, Through its Managing Director, Bokaro steel Plant, Administrative Building P.O. Administrative Building, P.S. Harla & District-Bokaro.
4. The Managing Director, Bokaro Steel Limited, Administrative Building, P.O. Administrative Building, P.S. Harla & District-Bokaro.
5. The Directorate, Land Acquisition Rehabilitation through Director Bokaro Steel Limited, Camp II, P.S. Marakhari, Bokaro Steel City, District Bokaro.
6. The Director, Displaced and Rehabilitation, Bokaro Steel City Limited, Administrative Building, P.O. Administrative Building, P.S. Harla & District-Bokaro.
7. The State of Jharkhand.
8. The Deputy Commissioner, Bokaro, P.O., P.S. & District-Bokaro.
9. The Circle Officer, Bokaro, P.O., P.S. & District-Bokaro.
10. Tapan Kumar Singh, Employment No.751968, S/o Late Bhudev Kumar Singh, Resident of Quarter No.3214, Sector-6A, P.O., P.S. & District-Bokaro.

...**Respondents**

--

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Ankit Apurva, Advocate
For the Resp.-Sate : Ruchi Mukti, A.C to AAG-IA
For the Resp.-SAIL : Mr. Saket Upadhyay, Advocate

--

07/18.03.2026

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The instant writ application has been preferred by the petitioner praying for a direction upon the respondent authorities to provide employment to this petitioner under Category-I, whose land having house situated over Plot no. 372, measuring an area of 10

decimal, Khata no. 143 under Mauza Jasidih has been acquired by Bokaro Steel Plant and on the basis of said acquisition another person, namely Tapan Kumar Singh and Bishwanath Singh have been provided job as Category-I, displaced.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the Respondent-SAIL draws attention of this Court towards Annexure-1 which is an order passed by the writ court in *W.P.(S) No. 6583 of 2011* filed by the petitioner, wherein the petitioner withdrew the writ application.

4. In reply to the same, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is not in a position to know as to whether for the same and similar prayer the writ was filed which was withdrawn; as such, the instant application may be disposed by granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent no. 6.

5. Having regard to the aforesaid submission, the instant writ application is hereby disposed of without going into the merits of the case by directing the petitioner to approach the respondent no. 6 by filing representation with all relevant documents.

The moment, the 6th respondent shall receive the documents and representation, he shall look into the matter and verify the records and take a decision in accordance with law and applicable Rules and Regulations

within a period of three months from the date of receipt of such representation.

6. Accordingly, the writ application stands disposed of.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

18th March, 2026

Jk

Uploaded on

06/04/2026