

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Tiwari, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Anuj Kumar Trivedi, A.P.P.

5. From the FIR, it transpires that the allegations are there against Nitish Kumar Yadav of siphoning the said amount of the females and the petitioner is running a Pragya Kendra and only allegation is there that the said Pragya Kendra was utilized for different work by Nitish Kumar Yadav

and allegation of taking money are not there against the petitioner and further in the FIR itself, it has come that Nitish Kumar Yadav has accepted the liability and also assured to return the amount, however, the amount has not been returned and in para 9 of the petition, it has been stated that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent, in the attending facts and circumstances, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioner is directed to surrender before the learned court within two weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender, he shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Garhwa, in connection with Garhwa P.S. Case No. 27 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Anit

Uploaded
19.06.2026