

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. A. (DB) No. 1777 of 2003

Ujagar Yadav & Ors.

... .. **Appellants**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

..... **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA

For the Appellants

: Mr. J.P. Jha, Sr. Advocate

For the State

: Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, A.P.P.

Order No. 09/ Dated: 17th March, 2026

Mr. J.P. Jha, learned senior counsel for the appellants has placed before us a judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of *Naim Ahamed versus State (NCT of Delhi)*, reported in (2023) 15 SCC 385, wherein a direction has been given to all the courts that while recording the evidence of the witnesses they shall duly comply with the provisions of Section 277 of Cr.P.C. This judgment has been produced before us in the context of the depositions in the present appeal having been taken down in English language.

2. In order to further the arguments of the learned counsel for the appellants, list this case on 21st April, 2026.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)

(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)

17.03.2026

Arpit