



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr.M.P. No. 1563 of 2022

Ajay Goyal	Versus	 Petitioner
The State of Jharkhand & Anr.		 Opposite Parties

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner	: -----	Mr. Manoj Kr. Choubey, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Vineet Kr. Vashistha, A.P.P.

02/Dated: 13/06/2022

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it has been agreed that on the execution of sale deed the cheque in question which was provided to the O.P. No. 2 as security, shall be returned to the petitioner. He submits that although the execution of sale deed has been taken place but security cheque has not been returned and the same has been presented before the bank and thereafter the cognizance has been taken against the petitioner. He further submits that since the cheque in question was meant for security purpose, the same was required to be returned. He submits that no case under section 138 N.I. Act is attracted against the petitioner.

Let notice be issued upon O.P. No.. 2 under registered cover with A/D as well as by ordinary process for which requisites etc. must be filed within two weeks.

No coercive step shall be taken against the petitioner in connection with Complaint Case No. 106 of 2022, pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ranchi till the next date.

Let this matter appear on 20.10.2022.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)