

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3387 of 2025

- 1. Tahid Ansari, son of Yakub Ansari
- 2. Mohsin Ansari @ Mosim Ansari son of Tahid Ansari
- 3. Wasim Ansari, son of Tahid Ansari
- 4. Nasim Ansari, son of Tahid Ansari.

All residents of Uruguttu, P.O Uriguttu, P.S. Thakurgaon, Ranchi, Jharkhand.
..... Petitioner(s).
-Versus-
The State of Jharkhand. ... Opp. Party(s).

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Avishek Prasad, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Anup Pawan Topno, A.P.P.
For the Informant : Mr. Saurabh Das, Advocate.

08/07.07.2025: This anticipatory bail application under Section(s) 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioners
apprehending their arrest for the offences registered under Section(s) 191(2), 191(3), 190,
126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS-2023 in connection with Thakurgaon
P.S. Case No. 10/2025, pending in the Court of learned J.M, Ranchi.

Heard the parties at length and had gone through the documents, annexed along
with this application.

Opportunity was given to the State as well as informant to oppose the bail, which
they availed and opposed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is a case and counter case.
He submits that the informant and others attempted to disrobe the daughter of petitioner
No. 1, thus the entire incident had occurred.

Counsel for the informant submits that there is land dispute between the parties, as
a result of which, these petitioners have assaulted the informant. He refers to the injury
report, which suggests that there are several wounds on the person of the informant's
brother.

After hearing the parties, I find that there is allegation of assault by these petitioners.
Though the allegation is general, but the fact which cannot be lost sight of is that there are
several injuries including cut wound as well as cervical injury. Medical report also suggests
that there is also head injury and spine injuries. There is allegation that sharp cutting
weapon like axe etc. were used in the overt act by the accused persons. Further, it has
come to notice that the injury was such that the patient had to be shifted to ICU.

Considering the aforesaid fact, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the
petitioners. Accordingly, this application is **dismissed**.