

Jai Raman Roy	...	Petitioner
Versus		
1. The State of Jharkhand		
2. Sanu Priya	...	Opp. Parties

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Sahil, Advocate.
		Mr. Avilash Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	APP
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Vinay Kr. Tiwary, Advocate

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the matter has already been settled before JHALSA between the petitioner and opposite party No.2. He further submits that the petitioner is intended to pay the amount in terms of settlement and for that he has taken the necessary step. He further submits that the matter may kindly be taken up after six weeks to enable the petitioner to arrange the money. He fairly submits that he will instruct the petitioner to at least pay the certain amount to the O.P. No.2 in a week, so that the O.P. No.2 may be able to maintain herself.

3. Mr. Tiwari, learned counsel appearing for the O.P. No.2 submits that in terms of settlement, no amount has been paid, even the current maintenance is also not being paid.

4. In view of the above and considering that the matter has been settled between the parties and the petitioner is intended to pay the amount in terms of settlement, further four weeks' time is granted to comply the terms and conditions of the settlement by the petitioner.

Seeing the hardships of the O.P. No.2, the petitioner is directed to pay Rs.1,00,000/- further within a week to the O.P. No.2.

5. In view of the above, I.A. No.12948 of 2024 is disposed of.
6. Let this matter appear after five weeks under the same heading.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

24.11.2025
R.Kumar