

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

F.A. No. 93 of 2023

Jairam Rai		...	...	Appellant
	Versus			
Sukhpal Singh and Ors.		...	...	Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Appellant : Ms. Puja Agarwal, Advocate
For the Resp. Nos. 1 to 3 : Ms. Swati Shalini, Advocate

09/17.03.2026

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that the respondent no. 6 has been served notice through the competent staff of Tata Steel Limited. She submits that the notice be accepted as validly served.

2. Considering the submissions, the notice upon respondent no. 6 is accepted as validly served.

3. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that the respondent nos. 4 and 5 could not be traced and therefore, the notice could not be served. She submits that appropriate steps will be taken in connection with respondent nos. 4 and 5.

4. Post this case on 20th April, 2026.

5. Office is directed to forward a copy of this order to Mr. Manish Mishra, Advocate, who generally appears for Tata Steel Limited.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Pankaj