

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Criminal Appeal (S.J.) No.524 of 2025

Dhananjay Kumar Ravi @ Guddu			Appellant
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand			Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Appellant	: Mr. P.P.N. Roy, Sr. Adv.
	Ms. Kavita Kumari, Adv.
For the State	: Mrs. Mohua Palit, A.P.P.

10/Dated: 22nd April, 2026

I.A. No.10308 of 2025

1. The present interlocutory application has been filed on behalf of the appellant for suspension of sentence and grant of ad-interim bail during the pendency of this appeal.
2. Heard learned senior counsel for the appellant and learned counsel for the State.
3. The appellant has been convicted for the offence under Sections 392 and 411 of Indian Penal Code and has been sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for seven years along with a fine of Rs.25,000/- for the offence punishable under Section 392 of IPC and in default of payment further to undergo further imprisonment for six months. Further, he has been directed to undergo rigorous imprisonment for three years under Section 411 of the IPC, by the learned Sessions Judge, Garhwa in Sessions Trial No.229 of 2018.
4. It has been submitted by the learned senior counsel for the appellant that the witnesses have not supported the case. The appellant is languishing in jail custody from the date of conviction i.e. 04.04.2025. On the above basis, the prayer for bail has been made.
5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail.
6. Considering the material available on records, I am inclined to suspend the sentence and enlarge the appellant on bail during the pendency of this appeal. Accordingly, the appellant named above, is directed to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with

two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sessions Judge, Garhwa in connection with S.T. Case No.229 of 2018 arising out of Kandi P.S. Case No.59 of 2016 corresponding to G.R. No.1466 of 2016 subject to conditions that:- (i) The appellant will submit his mobile number before the learned court below which he will always keep active and will not change it during pendency of this case without prior permission of the court. (ii) The appellant will remain present before the Court when the appeal is taken up for hearing, failing which his bail shall be cancelled.

7. In the result, the present interlocutory application stands allowed.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

Dated: 22nd April, 2026

Amar/-

Uploaded