

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cont. Case (Civil) No.1332 of 2025

Kaushalya Devi, Age about 73 Years, W/o Genalal Yadav, R/o
Village- Mirzapur Baghar, P.O.- Monahari, P.S.- Katihar, District-
Katihar, Bihar Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & Another Opposite Parties

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate
Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, SC (L&C) I
For the O.P. No.2 : Mr. Rakesh Kr. Shahi, Advocate

Order No:-08 Dated:-13-03-2026

I.A. No.3512 of 2026

Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to permit the Deputy Commissioner, Sahibganj to appear virtually on 13.03.2026.

Prayer is allowed.

Mr. Hemant Sati- Deputy Commissioner, Sahibganj has joined through video conferencing.

Accordingly, this interlocutory application stands allowed.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

I.A. No.3511 of 2026

1. Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 submits that this interlocutory application has been filed with the prayer to implead the persons stated in paragraph-13 as opposite parties in the instant Cont. Case (Civil). It is next submitted that wrongful recipients of the award amount namely Gopal Yadav the son of Manju Devi and Mosomat Chinta Devi are still retaining the amount of the petitioner and thereby impeding the compliance of the order dated 04.04.2024. Hence, they be impleaded as parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that by the order dated 04.04.2024 passed in W.P. (C) No.1764 of 2019, the respondent No.2 of the said Writ Petition was directed to ensure the payment of Rs.43,08,846/- and it was not a private dispute between the parties and as the State has been empowered by the said order to take steps including coercive steps for realization of the said amount, so, there is no necessity for impleading the said Mosomat Chinta Devi and Gopal Yadav who is the son of Manju Devi who has since deceased, as party to this Cont. Case (Civil). Hence, it is submitted that this interlocutory application, being without any merit, be dismissed.

3. Having heard the rival submissions made at the Bar and after carefully going through the materials available in the record, this Court is of the considered view that this Cont. Case (Civil) has been filed for violation of the order dated 04.04.2024 passed in W.P. (C) No.1764 of 2019 by which respondent No.2 was directed to ensure payment of Rs.43,08,846/- to the petitioner Kaushalya Devi within three months. As mentioned in this interlocutory application, out of the said amount, a sum of Rs.14,36,282.10/- has already been paid to the petitioner and the learned counsel for the petitioner also acknowledges receipt of the said amount from one of her brothers. So, the remaining amount of Rs.28,72,563.90 is to be paid. Since, the said Writ Petition is not regarding the private dispute between the parties rather the respondent No.2 being the Deputy Commissioner, Sahibganj has been directed to ensure payment, so, this Court is of the considered view that there is no justification for impleading the said Mosomat Chinta Devi and Gopal Yadav who is one of the sisters of Kaushalya Devi namely Manju Devi, as party of this Cont. Case (Civil).

4. Accordingly, this interlocutory application, being without any merit, is dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Cont. Case (Civil) No.1332 of 2025

1. Mr. Hemant Sati- Deputy Commissioner, Sahibganj submits that he will ensure that either the remaining amount of Rs.28,72,563.90 is paid by the remaining siblings of the petitioner or their successor to the petitioner on or

before 17.04.2026 or the same will be paid to the petitioner from the State Exchequer and subsequently the same will be recovered from the remaining siblings of the petitioner by the State.

2. In view of the submissions made by Mr. Hemant Sati- Deputy Commissioner, Sahibganj, list this case on 17.04.2026.

3. If by that date the sum of Rs.28,72,563.90/- is not paid to the petitioner and proof of the same is not filed on or before 17.04.2026, the opposite party No.2 is directed to remain physically present in this Court on 17.04.2026 at 10:30 am.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated- 13.03.2026-Animesh/