

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 2822 of 2025

1. Rahim Ansari @ Abdul Rahim son of Gulzar Miyan
2. Ajid Ansari @ Abdul Aziz son of Gulzar Miyan
3. Juman Ansari @ Wazir Miyan son of Gulzar Miyan
4. Jafar Ansari @ Zafar Ansari, son of Abdul Rahim
5. Rukshana Bibi @ Rukshana Kghatoon, wife of Mahfuz Ansari
6. Afsana Khatoon, wife of Mansur Ansari
7. Naajma Khatoon @ Najma Khatoon, daughter of Md. Kadir Ansari
8. Noorjahan Bibi @ Nurjahan Bibi, wife of Abdul Aziz
9. Tajmul Ansari, son of Abdul Aziz
10. Rubiya Khatoon @ Rabiya Katun, wife of Md. Jasim Ansari.

All are residents of village Ahardih, P.O Surhi, P.S. Nawadih, Bokaro.

..... Petitioner(s).

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

.

... Opp. Party(s).

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Pankaj Verma, Advocate.

For the State : Ms. Sushma Aind, A.P.P.

.....

05/11.07.2025: This anticipatory bail application under Section(s) 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioners apprehending their arrest for the offences registered under Section(s) 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352, 351(2) of the BNS Act, in connection with Nawadih P.S. Case No. 12/2025, pending in the Court of learend ACJM, Bermo at Tenughat.

Heard the parties at length and had gone through the documents, annexed along with this application.

Opportunity was given to the State to oppose the bail, which the State availed and opposed.

It is alleged that the petitioners have assaulted the informant.

After hearing the parties and going through the impugned order and the documents on record and injury, I am of the opinion that, *prima facie*, Section 109 BNS is not attracted in this Case. Section 117(2) BNS though is not bailable but the punishment of the same is for the period which may extend to seven years only. The other sections are bailable in nature.

In that view, I direct the petitioners to appear before the Court concerned, who will consider the individual overt act of the petitioners and will assess as to whether Section 117(2) BNS can be applied in the case of the petitioners or not, thereafter appropriate order will be passed taking into consideration the observation made herein above.

Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.