

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 4256 of 2026

Dulal Mirdha, son of Motilal Mirdha.
... .. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Om Prakash, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Ms. Amrita Kumari, APP

- 02/10.06.2026 Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 18.01.2023 in connection with Amrapara P.S. Case No.04/2023, corresponding to S.T. Case No.64/2023, registered under Sections 302/201 of IPC, now pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge, Pakur.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected thrice earlier but the petitioner is in custody since 18.01.2023 and since January 2026, no witness is being produced by the State. He has also submitted that out of 6 prosecution witnesses, 4 have already been examined and the Investigating officer is not appearing before the court for his examination and there is one more witness to be examined. He has further submitted that the evidence, which has been led before the court has been placed on record and it appears that there is material contradiction and the witnesses have not fully supported the case of the prosecution against the petitioner.
4. The learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer for bail. However, she submits that there can be no reason for non-production of witnesses before the court and the State shall ensure that the remaining witnesses are promptly produced.
5. After hearing the learned counsels for the parties and considering the fact that there is direct allegation against the petitioner and his bail application has been rejected thrice earlier, this Court is

not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, this bail application is rejected.

6. However, this Court takes serious note of the fact that out of remaining prosecution witnesses one is the investigating officer of the case, who is not being produced before the court for evidence.

7. The State is directed to ensure that the remaining witnesses are promptly produced on the date (s) fixed by the court. The petitioner has pointed out that 18.06.2026 is the next date in the trial court.

8. The learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Superintendent of Police of the concerned district and also to Director, Prosecution to ensure compliance.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through "FAX/email".

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order: 10.06.2026

Saurav

Date of Uploading: 10.06.2026