

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 3556 of 2025

1.Babulal Gope @ Babulal Yadav, S/o Roopu Gope
2.Kalo Devi @ Kalawati Devi, W/o Babulal Gope @ Babulal Yadav
3.Sunita Devi, W/o Pradeep Yadav
All R/o Village- Belamundwar, P.O. Hazaribagh Sadar, P.S. Hazaribagh Muffasil,
District- Hazaribagh

.... Petitioner(s).

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

... Opp. Party(s).

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Arpit Kumar, Advocate
Mr. Avinash Kumar, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Suraj Deo Munda, Addl. P.P.

.....

04/ 07.07.2025: Mr. Arpit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this anticipatory bail application in respect of petitioner Nos.1 (Babulal Gope @ Babulal Yadav) and 2 (Kalo Devi @ Kalawati Devi).

3. Prayer is allowed.
4. Accordingly, anticipatory bail application of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 is dismissed as withdrawn.
5. This anticipatory bail application is be now confined to petitioner No. 3- Sunita Devi.
6. Heard, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.
7. The present anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending her arrest for the offences registered under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 354, 504, 506, 34 of IPC, in connection with Hazaribagh Muffasil P.S. Case No. 83 of 2024, pending in the Court of learned C.J.M. at Hazaribagh.
8. The learned APP opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
9. The dispute arose over a piece of land, which both the parties were claiming.

10. It is alleged that the informant party was attacked by the petitioners, which resulted in injury.

11. From the F.I.R., I find that it is Dharmendra Yadav who has assaulted with axe and there is general allegation against this petitioner, who happens to be a lady and mother of two infant child. As per submission of the counsel, father-in-law, mother-in-law and husband of the petitioner has already been taken in custody and the petitioner is the only one who is looking after the infants.

12. Considering the fact that there is no specific allegation against this petitioner and also, she is a lady having two children in her lap, I feel that this is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail of the petitioner. Accordingly, this anticipatory bail application stands **allowed**.

13. The petitioner no.3 (Sunita Devi), is directed to appear before the learned Court below within three weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and on her appearance, she shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand), with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M. at Hazaribagh, in connection with Hazaribagh Muffasil P.S. Case No. 83 of 2024 subject to the condition that one of the bailers should be a close relative of the petitioner and other should be a resident of State of Jharkhand, having sufficient landed property in his name or in the name of his ancestors in which he is having share and to that effect, he has to file an affidavit before the Trial Court indicating his share in the property.

(ANANDA SEN, J.)