

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. Revision No. 526 of 2023

Pintu Giri

....

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. Pallavi Puri

..... **Opp. Parties**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD

For the Petitioner : Mr. Sidhartha Roy, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, A. P. P.
For the O. P. No. 2 : None.

.....

I. A. No. 5182 of 2023

05/07.07.2025 The instant I.A. No. 5182 of 2023 has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act for condoning the delay of 1463 days in filing the instant Cr. Revision No. 526 of 2023.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

3. It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there is delay of 1463 days in filing the Cr. Revision No. 526 of 2023. It is submitted that ex-parte order was passed against him and the petitioner learnt about this case only after the issuance of Distress Warrant in the 1st week of April, 2023, then the petitioner has filed this Criminal Revision Application and as such, delay of 1463 days in preferring the instant Criminal Revision Application may be condoned.

4. Learned counsel for the raised objection.

5. None appears on behalf of the opposite party no. 2 despite notice has been sent upon her, but the notice has returned unserved.

6. Having heard learned counsel for both the sides and also in view of the averments made in para- 5 to 8 of the instant I.A. No. 5182 of 2023 and taking lenient view, the delay of 1463 days in preferring the instant Cr. Revision No. 526 of 2023 is,

hereby, condoned.

7. Thus, I.A. No. 5182 of 2023 is allowed and stands disposed of.

Cr. Revision No. 526 of 2023

8. It is submitted that the notice has been returned unserved as the opposite party no. 2 has changed her address.

9. Under the circumstances, learned counsel for the State is directed to get served notice of this Criminal Revision Application to the opposite party no. 2 through the Officer In-charge of the concerned police station and shall file affidavit in this regard.

10. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has only managed to prepare the Demand Draft of Rs. 80,000/- instead of Rs. 1,50,000/- in the name of the opposite party no. 2 and he is ready to deposit the Demand Draft of Rs. 80,000/- in the name of the opposite party no. 2 before the office of the learned Registrar General.

11. Under the circumstances, the petitioner is directed to deposit the Demand Draft of Rs. 80,000/- in the name of the opposite party no. 2 in the office of learned Registrar General, Jharkhand High Court, Ranchi within one week from today and file supplementary affidavit to that effect.

12. Learned Registrar General of this Court is directed to keep the said Demand Draft in safe custody and shall be handed over to the opposite party no. 2 on filing such an application.

13. Put up this case on 02.09.2025.

14. In the meantime, the petitioner is further directed to deposit the remaining Rs. 70,000/- by way of Demand Draft in the name of the opposite party no. 2 in the office of the

learned Registrar General.

15. No coercive steps shall be taken against the petitioner only after depositing the Demand Draft of Rs. 70,000/- in the name of the opposite party no. 2 before the office of the learned Registrar General.

16. Let a copy of this order be sent to the office of learned Registrar General, Jharkhand High Court, Ranchi for the needful and to the learned Court below.

Kamlesh/

(Sanjay Prasad, J.)