

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(S) No. 4918 of 2010

Ramanand Jha	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand and Ors.			
...	...		Respondents
With			
W.P.(S) No. 3268 of 2014			
With			
W.P.(S) No. 3666 of 2014			
With			
W.P.(S) No. 4020 of 2014			

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: None
For the Resp.-State	: Mr. Ankit Kumar, Advocate Ms. Divya, Advocate Mr. S. Bhowmik, Advocate Ms. Bandana Sinha, Advocate
For Resp. – University	: Mr. Shivam Singh, Advocate Ms. Madhu Priya, Advocate

14/02.09.2022

Nobody appears on behalf of the petitioners.

2. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that the matter relates to the issue as to whether the Demonstrator would fall within the definition of Teacher. They also submit that initially the definition of Teacher included Demonstrator, but subsequently, an amendment has come wherein the definition of Teacher does not include Demonstrator. The amendment has been brought on record by way of interlocutory application by seeking amendment of the writ petition. The amendment in the provision has been published in the official gazette on 25.11.2011, but the notification giving effect to the amendment is not available on record.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents pray for time to seek instructions.

4. Post these cases under the same heading on 09.09.2022.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)