

(2026:JHHC:14177)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 3927 of 2026

Guddu Yadav, aged about 34 years old, son of Balraj Yadav @
Baliraj Yadav, resident of village Shobhanpur Bhatta, PO & PS -
Sahibganj (M), District - Sahibganj. **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Sabyasanchi, Advocate
For the State	: Mr. Shree Prakash Jha, Addl. P.P.
	: Mr. Shishir Raj, Advocate

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 02, Dated:- 08th May, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 68 of 2017 registered for the offences punishable under sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 325 / 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner was a member of an unlawful assembly and attempted to commit murder of Balram Yadav, Amarjeet Yadav, Dara Yadav, Gabbar Yadav and the mother of the informant. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is general and omnibus in nature and the petitioner has voluntarily surrendered on 20th February, 2026. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 11 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 20.02.2026, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 12 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person with similar

allegation has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 06.07.2020 in *B.A. No. 3365 of 2020*. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of *learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sahibganj*, in connection with *Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 68 of 2017*, with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)