

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P(C) No. 7067 of 2005

Charusila Trust, Deoghar, P.O. and P.s.-Deoghar,
District Deoghar, through its Trustee Shri Mohan
Murati Dutta, son of Late Ghanshyam Dutta, resident
of Lake Avenue, P.O., P.S. and District-Kolkata (West
Bengal).

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Secretary,
Department of Tourism, Government of Jharkhand,
Ranchi.
2. The Deputy Secretary, Government of Jharkhand,
Ranchi.
3. District Commissioner, Deoghar.

..... ... Respondents

with

W.P(C) No. 3637 of 2005

Sri Sri Balananda Trust Deoghar, P.S., Sub-Division
and District-Deoghar, through its Trustee Shri Mohan
Murati Dutta, son of Late Ghanshyam Dutta, resident
of Lake Avenue, P.O. and P.S.-Kolkata (West Bengal).

..... ... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through its Secretary,
Department of Tourism, Government of Jharkhand,
Ranchi.
2. The Deputy Secretary, Government of Jharkhand,
Ranchi.
3. District Commissioner, Deoghar.

..... ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Mr. Shivam Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Ms Shalini Shahdeo, A.C. to S.C.(L&C)-I.

22/ 09.04.2026 Subject matter of these two writ petitions is relating to
acquisition of land of Nawlakha Mandir and its adjoining land, being
Plot Nos. 79, 81 and 82 of Village-Kanijaur, P.O. and P.S. Deoghar,
District Deoghar.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar and in light of disclosures made in paras-11 to 13, the grievance of the petitioner has already been fulfilled.

3. A supplementary counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, where in paras-11 to 13, it has been stated that the award under Section 11 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 was not prepared at that time and neither the compensation was paid to the land owner. It has also been stated therein that the respondent authority has not taken physical possession of the land in question and further it has been stated that the acquisition process was not completed and time was already elapsed.

4. In view of the above statements made in the supplementary counter affidavit, filed on behalf of Deputy Commissioner, Deoghar, it transpires that the grievances of the petitioners have already been redressed. As such, both these writ petitions are disposed of as infructuous. Pending I.A., if any, stands disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Dated:-09.04.2026
Amitesh/-