

(2025:JHHC:18532)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.5573 of 2025

Rajesh Kumar Saw @ Rajesh Kumar Shaw, aged about 25 years, S/o-
Late Rambabu Saw, R/o-Village Kurmipara, Mihijam, P.O. & P.S.-
Mihijam, District-Jamtara.

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ashutosh Pd. Joshi, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rakesh Ranjan, Addl.P.P

Order No.02 Dated- 09-07-2025

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Mihijam P.S.
Case No.10 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section
103(1), 61(2) of the B.N.S and under Section 27, 25(1-b), 26 and 35 of the Arms
Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against
the petitioner is that the petitioner has committed murder of Rahul Kumar
Singh. It is next submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It
is next submitted that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been
implicated in this case only on the basis of the confessional statement and
recovery of weapon of offence. It is next submitted that as per the
confessional statement of the co-accused, the deceased Rahul Kumar Singh
was shot by Yuvraj Singh and the specific allegation of murder is on Yuvraj
Singh and except the confessional statement, there is no other material in the
record to implicate the petitioner in this case. It is next submitted that the
petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in para-09 of
this bail application. It is next submitted that the charge sheet has been
submitted in this case. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in
custody since 01.02.2025 as is evident from para-01 of the instant bail
application. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will
not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner
during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner also

undertakes to co-operate with the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts of this case, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.-1st Class, Jamtara in connection with Mihijam P.S. Case No.10 of 2025 **with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and he will not annoy or disturb the informant or witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Abhiraj/