

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3751 of 2026

Sandip Kuzur @ Sandip Munda, S/o- late Suruwa Munda
... .. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Naresh Pd. Thakur, Advocate
For the Opp. Party : Mr. Prabhu Dayal Agarwal, Spl. P.P.

- 02/05.05.2026 Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Bero P.S. Case No. 122 of 2023, registered under Sections 302/34 of Indian Penal Code, now pending in the court of learned J.M.F.C., VIII, Ranchi.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and he is in custody since 12.02.2026. The learned counsel has submitted that four co-accused persons namely, Vikash Munda @ Vikas Munda, Akash Oraon, Dilip Oraon and Somra Oraon @ Langda have been granted bail by co-ordinate Benches of this Court in B.A. No. 5521 of 2024, B.A. No. 6278 of 2024, B.A. No. 7727 of 2025 and B.A. No. 10496 of 2025 respectively. The learned counsel submits that the petitioner is similarly situated as that of the aforesaid co-accused.
4. Learned counsel for the opposite party- State, on the other hand, has opposed the prayer and has submitted that it has come in the impugned order itself that it was the petitioner who joined other co-accused along with one country-made pistol and three cartridges and fired at the victim due to which the victim fell down on the ground and thereafter, it was the petitioner who gave 2-3 blows of stone on her face. The learned counsel for the State has also submitted that there is direct allegation against the petitioner so far as the assault on the victim is concerned.
5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and direct

allegation against the petitioner, this Court is of the considered opinion that the case of the petitioner is not similarly situated as that of the other co-accused who have been enlarged on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

6. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the learned court concerned through “FAX/email”.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Date of Order:05.05.2026

Pankaj

Date of Uploading:07.05.2026