

(2026:JHHC:13419)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3827 of 2026

Vijay Saw, aged about 32 years, s/o Mahendra Saw, r/o
Village-Dwarpahri, P.O. & P.S.-Birni, Dist.-Giridih

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Tarun Kr. No.1, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sinha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 06.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. No. 148 of 2026 arising out of Jamua P.S. Case No.03 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 109, 111(3), 351(2) & 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and under Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant by firing upon him. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the specific allegation of firing upon the informant, is upon the co-accused -Ramu Saw. It is then submitted that the injury report issued on 04.01.2026 i.e. on the date of occurrence, by the concerned doctor of the Community Health Centre, Jamua, Giridih goes to show that the informant has only sustained lacerated wound and a supplementary opinion has also been furnished by the same doctor on 12.01.2026 and as by that time the NCCT of the head, though was advised had not been done, the doctor opined that the injury caused to the informant is simple in nature and caused by hard and blunt object. It is next submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 22.01.2026, as has been

mentioned in paragraph no. 12 of the bail application. It is then submitted that charge sheet has already been submitted in this case. It is further submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person with similar allegation has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 04.05.2026 in B.A. No.3691 of 2026. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above-named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Giridih, in connection with S.T. No. 148 of 2026 arising out of Jamua P.S. Case No.03 of 2026 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)