

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**B.A. No. 3846 of 2026**

Samir @ Md. Sajid Raza @ Samir Khan, aged about 23 years, S/o
Ainul Hazue, r/o New Mohalla, P.O. & P.S. Chainpur, District
Palamu, Jharkhand. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner: :Mr. Sheo Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State :Mr. Sahilesh Kr. Sinha, Addl.P.P.

02 /Dated: 06.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under sections 103(1), 238, 61(2), 303(2) r/w 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused and in criminal conspiracy with them, have committed murder of the brother of the informant and caused disappearance of the evidence of the murder; besides committing theft of gold chain and mobile phone. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and charge-sheet has been submitted. It is also submitted that the petitioner has been named in the FIR only on the basis of suspicion as on an earlier occasion, he threatened the deceased. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 28.11.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 20 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused person with similar allegation has already been admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 20.04.2026 in B.A. No. 3265 of 2026 and vide order dated 28.04.2026 in B.A. No. 3521

of 2026. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail, as except suspicion, there is no other material to implicate the petitioner in this case.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the above named petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub - Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Palamau, at Daltonganj, in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 228 of 2025 with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)