

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No. 2318 of 2026

1. Dasrath Lohra, aged about 45 years, son of Late Mangal Lohra, resident of Village Bargaon Chatti Toli, P.O. and P.S. Sisai, District Gumla
2. Teju Oraon, aged about 61 years, son of Lakhan Oraon, resident of Village Bargaon Chatti Toli, P.O. and P.S. Sisai, District Gumla
3. Dharambir Oraon, aged about 37 years, son of Kali Oraon, resident of Village Bargaon Chatti Toli, P.O. and P.S. Sisai, District Gumla
4. Panchu Oraon, aged about 37 years, son of Late Ghura Oraon, resident of Village Bargaon Chatti Toli, P.O. and P.S. Sisai, District Gumla
5. Devthu Lohra, aged about 55 years, son of Arjun Lohra, resident of Village Bargaon Chatti Toli, P.O. and P.S. Sisai, District Gumla

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioners : Ms. Rashika Bhardwaj, Advocate
 Mr. Kripa Shankar Nanda, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

02/08.05.2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sisai P.S. Case No. 28 of 2026, registered for the offence under Sections 303(2), 317(5) and 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, Sections 4 and 21 of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957, Rules 4 and 54 of Jharkhand Minor and Minerals Concession Rules, 2004 and Rules 7 and 9 of Jharkhand Mineral (Prevention of Illegal Mining, Transportation and Storage) Rule, 2017 pending in the court of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Gumla.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that false allegation has been made of storing twenty thousand CFT sand by the petitioners. She submits that the name of the petitioners has come only on suspicion and even the name of the person, who has taken the name of the petitioners, is not disclosed in the FIR. She also submits that the petitioners are nothing to do with the said stored sand and they are having no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 18 of the petition.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer and submits that the allegation are there of storing twenty thousand CFT sand.

5. Considering that the name of the petitioners has come only on suspicion and even the name of the person, who has taken the name of petitioners, is not disclosed in the FIR and further the petitioners are having no criminal antecedent as disclosed in para 18 of the petition, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Accordingly, the above-named petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned Court within two weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender, they shall be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Gumla, in connection with Sisai P.S. Case No. 28 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

Anit

Uploaded
11.05.2026