

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. M. P. No. 1265 of 2006

Sayub Ansari & others Petitioners

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & others Opposite parties

///

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Choudhary, Advocate
For the Opposite parties :

9/15.01.2026 An adjournment has been sought for by Mr. Arvind Kumar Choudhary, learned counsel for the petitioners in order to search out any case law indicating that the learned revisional court could not have passed an order bifurcating the possession of the parties in a proceeding under Section 145 of Cr.P.C.

Accordingly, list this case on 22.01.2026.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

R. Shekhar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Cr. M. P. No. 1231 of 2017

Manzar Khan @ Manjar Khan Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand through Vigilance Opposite party

///

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, Advocate

For the Opposite party : None

16/15.01.2026 Though, Mr. Kaushik Sarkhel, learned counsel for the petitioner is present, but none appears on behalf of the ACB.

Mrs. Nehala Sharmin, learned Special P. P. submits that she will inform the office so that a counsel be appointed to attend the present case.

Let this matter be listed on 29.01.2026.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

R. Shekhar

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
Contempt Case (Civil) No. 1139 of 2024

Sunil Kumar Singh Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand & others Opposite party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : None

For the Opposite party : Mr. Devesh Krishna, S.C. (Mines) III &
Mr. Kumar Pawan, AC to S.C.(Mines) III

4/15.01.2026 None appears on behalf of the petitioner. However, Mr. Devesh Krishna, learned S.C. (Mines) III is appearing for the State.

It has been submitted by Mr. Devesh Krishna, learned S.C. (Mines) III that the file of the petitioner for payment of retiral dues is progressing and the consent of the law department has also been obtained and now the file of the petitioner has been forwarded to the Finance Department and on its assent the file will be placed before the cabinet and only after the approval of the cabinet the entire retiral dues shall be extended to the petitioner.

It appears that the writ application was disposed of on 05.02.2018 and it was categorically mentioned in the said order that the petitioner is deemed to have been absorbed in the State of Jharkhand. The order dated 05.02.2018 was challenged by the State upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court where the said order was affirmed.

However, since it has been submitted by Mr. Devesh Krishna, learned S.C. (Mines) III that he shall take upto date instruction with respect to the further steps which have been taken by the State authorities, the matter is adjourned to be listed on 22.01.2026 at the top of the Board.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W. P. C. No. 1807 of 2018

Ram Kumar Singh Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand
2. The Secretary, Department, of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries,
Jharkhand, Doranda, Ranchi
3. The Joint Director, Department of Animal Husbandry and Fisheries,
Jharkhand, Doranda, Ranchi
4. The Regional Director, South Chhotanagpur Region, Ranchi Animal
Husbandry Department, Kanke, Ranchi
5. The Regional Director, Animal Husbandry Department, Palamau,
District Medninagar
6. The District Animal Husbandry Officer, Palamau, District
Medninagar

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Abhay Prakash, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Ayush Deb, AC to G.P. VI

8/15.01.2026 Heard Mr. Abhay Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned AC to G.P. VI, appearing for the State.

In this writ application, the petitioner has prayed for a direction upon the respondents to reconsider the candidature of the petitioner for appointment on the post of Technical Assistant in the respondent department considering the fact that the petitioner has not been given any weightage or preference in the selection process and the respondent department has very wrongfully appointed many similarly situated persons having obtained less than 77 marks by way of giving them preference and weightage over and above the other persons.

Submission has been advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was appointed on a sanctioned and vacant post of Technical Assistant, but after putting in 8-10 years of service, his services were terminated which was challenged in C.W.J.C. No. 2094 of 1998 (R). The petitioner continued to work. Pursuant to the

advertisement dated 28.12.2004, the petitioner had applied for the post of Technical Assistant, but it is the grievance of the petitioner that due to weightage with respect to marks and other criteria were not extended to the petitioner which however were extended to the other candidates who were subsequently appointed, petitioner was not appointed. It has been submitted that necessary relaxation was not given to the petitioner despite the recommendation of the committee constituted subsequent to the direction of the Hon'ble Supreme Court to consider the respective claims on a priority basis. Mr. Abhay Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that since the petitioner did not approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court, no relaxation was extended to him and it would suffice that this court direct that in case of any vacancy, the relaxation should be appropriately given to the petitioner.

However, considering the fact that the petitioner is aged about 57-58 years at the present moment, no such direction can be passed.

Subsequently, this writ application stands disposed of.

(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J)