

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P. (C) No. 3368 of 2006

Lilmani Soren and others	...	...	Petitioners
Versus			
Ainal hembrom and others	...	...	Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ritesh Ranjan, Advocate
: Ms. Sonal Tiwari, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. D.C. Mishra, Advocate

18/12.02.2026 As per the report received way back on 18th December, 2021 the respondent no. 3 Krishna Hembrom, respondent no. 4. Chunu Hembrom and respondent no. 5. Mangal Hembrom have expired. Service report also indicates information regarding death of Krishna Hembrom was provided by his cousin brother Hopna Hembrom and Sanatan Hembrom and the information regarding death of Chun Hembrom was provided by none less than his son Sanatan Hembrom and information regarding death of respondent no. 5 Mangal Hembrom was provided by his sons namely Munesal Hembrom and Hopna Hembrom. Further from the cause title it appears that the address of the petitioners and the respondents are one and the same. In spite of the aforesaid, no petition seeking substitution has been filed. Admittedly, the proceeding has abated as against the respondent nos. 3,4 and 5.

2. Post this case on 19.02.2026 at 2:15 P.M.
3. The petitioners to address on the next date as to whether any relief can still be granted to the petitioners.
4. No further adjournment will be granted.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)