

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**W.P.(C). No. 2038 of 2019**

Immaneul Tigga, son of Christo Tigga, aged about 48 years, resident of village & P.O. Raham, P.S. Tandwa, District Chatra

..... **Petitioner**

Versus

1. The State of Jharkhand through Deputy Commissioner, Chatra, at P.O., P.S. & District Chatra.
2. The District Land Accusation Officer, Chatra, At., P.O., P.S. & District Chatra.
3. (Dy. Manager, M.E.), the Authorized Officer, NTPC, North Karappura, STPP Tandwa, P.O. & P.S. Tandwa, District Chatra.

..... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Rabindra Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondents	:	Mr. Prashant Pallav, ASGI
		Mr. Binit Chandra, AC to AAG-III
		Mr. Bajrang Kumar, Advocate

19/ 20.03.2026 Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to file a supplementary affidavit making a specific averment that the petitioner undertakes that no one from his family will again claim the amount.

2. The said affidavit is taken on record.
3. Initially, the writ application was filed by the petitioner praying for a direction upon the respondents to pay compensation to the petitioner for the land acquired by the Authorized Officer, NTPC, North Karanpura, STPP Tandwa, P.O. & P.S. Tandwa, District Chatra.
4. During course of hearing an affidavit was filed and pursuant thereto, order No. 18, dated 09.02.2026 has been passed by this Court. For brevity, the same is extracted herein below:

"18/Dated:09.02.2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner draws attention of this Court towards Annexure-D filed by the respondent no.3-NTPC, wherein it has been categorically mentioned that the land in question is Gairmajarua land and the said land recorded in the name of Shrimati Ujjain Minz, wife of Immaneul Tigga, who is the present petitioner.

2. However, due to an objection raised by one Shanti Devi, the cheque was not handed over to the petitioner. A query was made to the Deputy Commissioner as to whether there was any objection regarding the payment. Since no reply has been received, it is deemed that there is no objection on behalf of the State Government.

3. Even otherwise, after the said objection of Shanti Devi, which was received on 30.12.2020, there is nothing on record to suggest that Shanti Devi has pursued the matter further or issued any reminder further.

4. Accordingly, learned counsel appearing for respondent no.3 shall inform to this Court on the next date of hearing that whether there is any objection/reminder has been given by the said Shanti Devi, pursuant to the original objection.

5. Admittedly, as per the letter dated 29.01.2021 of the respondent no.3 itself, it appears that the 3rd respondent has verified the documents and came to the conclusion that the said G.M. land is in the name of Immaneul Tigga and if there is no subsequent reminder and/or no other impediment in making payment, the respondent no.3 shall produce the cheque before this Court in favour of the petitioner.

6. List the matter on 23.02.2026 for further proceedings.”

5. Yesterday, when the case was taken-up, an apprehension was shown by the respondents that thought the draft is ready to be given to the petitioner but in future no one from his family should claim any compensation for the property in question and in case of such claim, the petitioner will indemnify the NTPC.

6. Upon such argument of learned ASGI, the petitioner took time yesterday and this Court granted him one day's time to file affidavit in this regard.

7. Today, a supplementary affidavit has been filed wherein at para-2 it has been specifically stated that no one from the family of the petitioner, who is living with his son, will claim the compensation amount. For brevity, para-2 and 3 of the supplementary affidavit is extracted herein below:

“2. That petitioner undertake that no one will again claim for the amount from his family.

3. That petitioner's son is living with petitioner.”

8. Having regard to the aforesaid undertaking, the instant application stands disposed of with a categorical observation that in future; neither the petitioner, nor anybody from his family will

claim any amount with respect to the property involved in acquisition and if at all any person comes in future claiming to be his family member, the petitioner herein shall indemnify the NTPC for the loss, if any.

9. The petitioner-in-person is present before this Court and he orally assures that he is living with his son and no other person will claim amount for the property in question and he has already received amount of compensation by way of draft of Rs.21,30,000/- (Rupees Twenty One Lakh Thirty Thousand only) and copy of the receipt is kept on record for future reference.
10. So far I.A. No. 7053 of 2023 filed for interest; the petitioner would be at liberty to raise his grievance before appropriate authority, if so advised.
11. Accordingly, the instant writ application stands disposed of. Pending I.A., if any, is also closed.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

20th March, 2026

Kunal/-

Uploaded on 06.04.2026