

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

W.P.(C) No. 2038 of 2019

Immaneul Tigga Petitioner
Versus
The State of Jharkhand & Ors.Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK ROSHAN

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rabindra Prasad, Adv.
For the Respondents: Mr. Bajrang Kumar, Adv.
: Mr. Binit Chandra, AC to AGG-III

18/Dated:09.02.2026

Learned counsel for the petitioner draws attention of this Court towards Annexure-D filed by the respondent no.3-NTPC, wherein it has been categorically mentioned that the land in question is *Gairmajarua* land and the said land recorded in the name of Shrimati Ujjain Minz, wife of Immaneul Tigga, who is the present petitioner.

2. However, due to an objection raised by one Shanti Devi, the cheque was not handed over to the petitioner. A query was made to the Deputy Commissioner as to whether there was any objection regarding the payment. Since no reply has been received, it is deemed that there is no objection on behalf of the State Government.

3. Even otherwise, after the said objection of Shanti Devi, which was received on 30.12.2020, there is nothing on record to suggest that Shanti Devi has pursued the matter further or issued any reminder further.

4. Accordingly, learned counsel appearing for respondent no.3 shall inform to this Court on the next date of hearing that whether there is any

objection/reminder has been given by the said Shanti Devi, pursuant to the original objection.

5. Admittedly, as per the letter dated 29.01.2021 of the respondent no.3 itself, it appears that the 3rd respondent has verified the documents and came to the conclusion that the said G.M. land is in the name of Immaneul Tigga and if there is no subsequent reminder and/or no other impediment in making payment, the respondent no.3 shall produce the cheque before this Court in favour of the petitioner.

6. List the matter on **23.02.2026** for further proceedings.

(Deepak Roshan, J.)

February 09, 2026
Fahim/-