

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
A.B.A. No.3615 of 2025

Abadh Lal Mehta, S/o late Madan Mehta, resident of Bhabani Nagar, PO and PS Bounsi, District Araria (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner(s)

Versus

The State of Jharkhand.

... .. Opposite Party(s)

CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Gautam Kr. Singh, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Shiv Shankar Kumar, A.P.P.

05/ 10.07.2025

Heard the parties.

2. This anticipatory bail application under Sections 482 and 484 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023, has been preferred by the petitioner apprehending his arrest for offences registered under Sections 420/466/467/468/469/471/34 of the Indian Penal Code pending in the court of learned S.D.J.M., Rajmahal in connection with Complaint Case No. 816 of 2017 arising out of Rajmahal PS Case No. 39 of 2016 corresponding to G.R Case No. 91 of 2016.

3. Learned A.P.P. representing the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

4. Admittedly complaint petition was filed which was referred under section 156(3) of Cr.PC for registering the FIR. After the FIR was registered the police investigated the occurrence and filed the Final Report exonerating the petitioner.

5. The informant thereafter filed a complaint protesting the filing of the Final Report.

6. On the basis of the said complaint, the court examined the enquiry witnesses, thereafter the court has taken cognizance of the offence and issued summons to the petitioner. The petitioner thereafter has approached this Court by filing anticipatory bail.

7. Since the petitioner was exonerated in the investigation and cognizance has been taken thereafter on the

complaint/protest there is no scope of any investigation further.

8. Thus, the petitioner should answer the summons and appear before the court concerned. Once the petitioner appears before the court concerned, the concerned court will pass appropriate order in terms of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of "**Satender Kumar Antil vs. CBI and Anr.**" reported in **(2022) 10 SCC 51** .

9. Accordingly, this Anticipatory Bail Application stands **disposed of.**

(ANANDA SEN, J.)

Tanuj/Cp-3