

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3695 of 2026

Rahul Thakur, Son of Vijay Thakur	...	...	Petitioner
Versus			
The State of Jharkhand	...	...	Opp. Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner	: Mr. Amit Kumar Chaturvedi, Advocate
For the Opp. Party	: Mr. Vijoy Kumar Sinha, Advocate

02/4th May 2026

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody in connection with Latehar Mahila P.S. Case No. 11/2025, corresponding to S.T. No. 71/2026 for the offence registered under Section 70(1), 3(5) of BNS, now said to have been pending in the court of learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Latehar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the bail application of the petitioner was rejected earlier in B.A. No. 11894/2025 vide order dated 10.02.2026 and subsequently, the co-accused, who is similarly placed as that of the petitioner, has been enlarged on bail in B.A. No. 11832/2025 vide order dated 20.02.2026.
3. However, the bail order of the co-accused, who has been granted bail, does not reveal that it was placed before the concerned court that the bail application of the co-accused has been rejected.
4. To this, the learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is one additional and important ground in this case, inasmuch as, the victim, who has been examined before the learned court as P.W.-1 on 01.04.2026, has turned hostile. The victim is also the informant of the case. He has further submitted that as per the medical evidence, there is no evidence of recent sexual activity or injury mark on the body of the victim. He submits that the petitioner does not have any criminal antecedent.

5. Learned counsel for the opposite party has opposed the prayer for bail. However, he does not dispute the aforesaid submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the victim herself having turned hostile, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rs. Twenty-Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Latehar in connection with Latehar Mahila P.S. Case No. 11/2025, subject to the following conditions: -

- (i) One of the bailors would be the present *pairvikar* of the petitioner.
- (ii) The other bailor should be his close relative.
- (iii) The petitioner will attend the court on each and every date and on account of his single default, the learned court shall cancel the bail bond furnished by the petitioner.
- (iv) The petitioner would deposit a self-attested copy of his Aadhar Card along with his Mobile Number before the learned court below, which he shall not change during the pendency of the case without prior permission of the court.
- (v) The petitioner shall fully co-operate with the proceedings before the learned court below.

7. The instant bail application is allowed with the aforesaid conditions.

8. Let a copy of this order along with a copy of the affidavit filed with the bail petition be communicated to the court concerned through 'e-mail/FAX'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

Dated: 04.05.2026
Uploaded On: 05.05.2026
Mukul/-