

[2026:JHHC:14138]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B. A. No. 3934 of 2026**

Rawon Singh @ Rawan Singh, aged about 40 years, son of Rajendra Singh,
resident of Sobhanpur Diyara, P.O. & P.S.- Sahibganj (M), District-
Sahibganj **Petitioner**

Versus

The State of Jharkhand. **Opp. Party**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate.
For the State : Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Add.P.P.

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

Order No:- 04, Dated:- 08th May, 2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved before this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. Case No. 252 of 2019 arising out of Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 245 of 2015, registered for the offences punishable under sections 302, 384 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code along with Section 27 of the Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention, committed murder of Uma Yadav and demanded extortion. It is next submitted that the allegation of firing upon Uma Yadav is upon Dhanu Singh and the firing upon informant, is against Shivnath Singh. It is further submitted that there is no direct and specific allegation against the petitioner. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and the petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 23 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 25.10.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 21 of the bail application. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the co-accused persons with similar

allegation have already been admitted to bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 23.01.2017 in B.A. No. 6209 of 2016. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sessions Judge, Sahibganj, in connection with S.T. Case No. 252 of 2019 arising out of Sahibganj (M) P.S. Case No. 245 of 2015, **with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the informant or the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.**

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)

Dated - 08.05.2026
Amar/-