

[2026:JHHC:13623]

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No.3767 of 2026

Shubham Kumar @ Subham Kumar, aged about 26 years, son of
Sanajeet Sah, resident of Shastri Nagar, Block-4, Road No.-2,
House No.-07, Town- Jamshedpur, P.O. & P.S.- Kadma, District -
East Singhbhum

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pran Pranay, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay Kr. Pathak, Addl.P.P
For the Informant : Mr. Pratik Sen, Advocate

Order No.02 Dated-07-05-2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has been made accused in connection with Kadma P.S. Case No.106 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No.312 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Section 103 (1), 61 (2), 3 (5) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner, in criminal conspiracy with the co-accused persons, has committed murder of Md. Taukir @ Gora. It is submitted that the allegation against the petitioner is false. It is next submitted that the direct allegation is against the co-accused persons namely Vijay Pandey, Sadab Khan and Masud Iqbal of firing upon the deceased and the only allegation against the petitioner is that since he threatened to abduct the victim, hence, it is alleged that the petitioner, in criminal conspiracy with the co-accused persons, has committed the murder of the deceased. It is further submitted that except the confessional statement of the co-accused and suspicion, there is no other material, to show the involvement of the petitioner, in the alleged criminal conspiracy. It is next submitted that the co-accused, with similar allegations, has already been

admitted to bail by this Court vide order dated 16.04.2026 passed in B.A. No.3019 of 2026. It is then submitted that the petitioner undertakes that he will co-operate with the trial of the case and that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case during the trial of the case. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 24.11.2025 as has been mentioned in para-16 of the instant bail application. Hence it is submitted that the petitioner be released on bail.

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State and the learned counsel for the informant vehemently oppose the prayer for bail.

Considering the fact that there is no direct allegation against the petitioner of commission of the murder and he has been implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion and confessional statement of the co-accused persons, the above-named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Jamshedpur in connection with Kadma P.S. Case No.106 of 2025 corresponding to G.R. Case No.312 of 2026 with the condition that he will co-operate with the trial of the case, furnish his mobile number and photocopy of the Aadhar Card in the court below with an undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case and will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)