

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND, RANCHI

A.B.A. No. 2313 of 2026

Rinku Sao @ Rinku Prasad @ Rinku Saw, aged about 40 years,
son of Mahesh Prasad, resident of Ashok Tola, Near Hanuman
Mandir, PO Bargaon, PS Ramgarh, District Ramgarh, Jharkhand

.... Petitioner

-- Versus --

The State of Jharkhand

.... Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR DWIVEDI

For the Petitioner :- Mr. Saurabh Shekhar, Advocate

For the State :- Mr. Someshwar Roy, Advocate

2/08.05.2026 Heard learned counsels for petitioner and for State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Mandu (WB) P.S. Case No.14 of 2026, for offence registered under section 126(2), 115(2), 352, 351(3), 318(4), 308(2), 103(1) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 and Section 5(i) of Jharkhand Private Money Lending (Prohibition) Act, 2016, pending in court of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jharkhand.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that the entire allegations levelled against the petitioner in the First Information Report is false and fabricated. He next submits that during the investigation no independent witness has supported the case of the prosecution. He next submits that nothing is recorded in the First Information Report by the police and on these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail may kindly be allowed.

4. Learned State counsel opposes prayer and submits that there is direct allegation against the petitioner of administering poison to the deceased and the deceased in a critical situation has disclosed to his mother that this petitioner has administered poison and in the way to the hospital, the deceased has died and on these grounds, he submits that anticipatory bail to the petitioner may kindly be rejected.

5. Considering that the deceased himself has stated that this petitioner has administered poison to the deceased and the deceased has disclosed it to his mother, and in the facts and circumstances of the present case, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is, hereby, rejected, and consequently, this anticipatory bail application, being A.B.A. No.2313 of 2026 is, hereby, dismissed.

(Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi, J.)

08.05.2026
SI/