

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**Cr. A. (DB) No. 527 of 2024**

-----

1. Mukesh Turi, aged about 23 years, son of Late Jodhi Turi, resident of village-Maheshpur, P.O. & P.S.-Domchanch, Dist.-Koderma,
2. Kanchan Devi, aged about 22 years, wife of Mukesh Turi, resident of village-Maheshpur, P.O. & P.S.-Domchanch, Dist.-Koderma,
3. Bachan Turi @ Bachchan Kr. Turi, aged about 24 years, son of Saryu Turi, resident of village -Behradih, P.O. & P.S.-Domchanch, Dist.-Koderma,
4. Bangali Turi, aged about 23 years, son of Baiju Turi, resident of village-Maheshpur, P.O. & P.S.-Domchanch, Dist.-Koderma

... ... **Appellants**

**Versus**

The State of Jharkhand

... ... **Respondent**

-----

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RONGON MUKHOPADHYAY**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRADEEP KUMAR SRIVASTAVA**

-----

For the Appellants : Mr. Randhir Kumar, Advocate  
For the State : Mr. Saket Kumar, APP

-----

**Order No. 09/ Dated: 10<sup>th</sup> September, 2025**

**I.A. No. 7343 of 2025 with 12494 of 2025**

Heard Mr. Randhir Kumar, learned counsel for the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 and Mr. Saket Kumar, learned A.P.P. appearing for the State.

2. These interlocutory applications have been preferred by the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 for grant of bail to them during the pendency of this appeal.

3. The appellant No. 3 and 4 have been convicted for the offences under Section 302/34 & 201/34 of the IPC and have been sentenced to undergo imprisonment for life along with fine of Rs. 25,000/- for the offence under Section 302/34 IPC.

4. It has been alleged that the son of the informant was murdered by some unknown persons.

5. Submission has been advanced by learned counsel for the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 that their name has transpired on the confessional statement of some of the co-convicts. Learned counsel has referred to the evidence of the Investigating Officer who has been examined as P.W.-7 while submitting that knife which was said to have been the murder weapon was recovered on 04.07.2020 and the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 were arrested on 25.10.2020

after which the confessional statements of the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 were recorded.

6. Mr. Saket Kumar, learned A.P.P. has opposed the prayer for the bail of the appellant but has accepted the fact that the recovery was effected much prior to the apprehension of the appellant Nos. 3 and 4.

7. On consideration of the aforesaid facts, we are inclined to admit the appellant Nos. 3 and 4 on bail. Accordingly, during the pendency of this appeal, appellant No. 3 and 4, above named, are directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned District and Addl. Sessions Judge-III, Koderma in S.T. Case No. 58 of 2020, arising out of Nawalsahi P.S. Case No. 56 of 2020.

8. The aforesaid I.As. stand allowed and disposed of.

**(Rongon Mukhopadhyay, J.)**

**(Pradeep Kumar Srivastava, J.)**

*Basant/Arpit*