

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI  
**W.P.(C) No. 3409 of 2026**

-----

1. Brij Mohan Singh, S/o Late Ganesh Narayan Singh,
2. Abhimanyu Kumar Singh, S/o Late Lal Mohan Singh,  
Both Resident of Village-Kunda, PO & PS-Kunda, Dist-Chatra,  
Jharkhand. **... .. Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

1. The State of Jharkhand.
2. The Commissioner, North Chhota Nagpur Division,  
Hazaribagh, having its office at Hazaribagh, PO-Hazaribagh,  
PS-Sadar, Dist-Ranchi, Jharkhand.
3. The Deputy Commissioner, Chatra, having its office at  
Collectorate Building, Chatra, PO, PS & Dist-Chatra,  
Jharkhand.
4. The Additional Collector, Chatra, having its office at  
Collectorate Building, Chatra, PO, PS & Dist-Chatra,  
Jharkhand.
5. The Land Reforms Deputy Collector, Chatra, having its office  
at Collectorate Building, Chatra, PO, PS & Dist-Chatra,  
Jharkhand.
6. Circle Officer, Kunda, having its office at Circle Office, Kunda,  
PO & PS-Kunda, Dist-Chatra, Jharkhand.
7. Shiv Mohan Prasad Sahu, son of Late Ram Prasad Gupta.
8. Sohan Prasad Sahu, son of Late Ram Prasad Gupta.
9. Surendra Prasad Gupta, son of Late Ram Prasad Gupta.
10. Badri Singh, Son of Late Nago Singh.
11. Krishna Mohan Singh, Son of Late Nago Singh.
12. Ratan Singh, Son of Late Nago Singh.
13. Jitendra Kumar Saundik, Son of Late Mahabir Sao.
14. Ranjit Kumar Saundik, Son of Late Mahabir Sao.
15. Manoj Kumar Saundik, Son of Late Mahabir Sao.
16. Amit Kumar Saundik, Son of Late Mahabir Sao.

Sl. No.7 to 16 are resident of Village-Kunda, PO & PS-Kunda,  
Dist-Chatra, Jharkhand.

**... .. Respondent(s)**

-----

**CORAM : SRI ANANDA SEN, J.**

-----

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Vijay Kr. Sharma, Advocate  
Ms. KM Poonam Verma, Advocate  
For the Respondent(s) : Mr. Ankit Kumar, AC to SC-I

-----

**02/ 06<sup>th</sup> May, 2026**

1. Heard the parties.
2. The petitioners, in this writ petition, pray for following  
relief:-

*"i. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s) / order(s) /  
directions(s) or a writ in the nature of Certiorari for*

*quashing the order dated 25.08.2023 (Annexure-4) passed in Mutation Revision Case No.85 of 2019 passed by the learned Commissioner, North Chotanagpur Division, Hazaribag (Respondent No.2), whereby and whereunder, the respondent No.2 has rejected the Mutation Revision on the ground of second revision is not maintainable under Bihar Tenants Holding Maintenance of Records Act, 1973.*

*ii. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s) / order(s) / direction(s) or a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 15.01.2019 (Annexure-3) passed in Mutation Revision Case No.27 of 2017 passed by the respondent No.3, whereby and where under, the learned Deputy Commissioner, Chatra affirmed the order of the learned L.R.D.C. Chatra passed in Misc. Case No.02/2016-17 on 19.05.2017.*

*iii. For issuance of an appropriate writ(s) / order(s) / direction or a writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing the order dated 29.05.2017 (Annexuer-3) whereby and where under, the Learned L.R.D.C. Chatra has dismissed the claim of the petitioners and stated that it is a purely a matter of Civil dispute and any order might be passed by the competent Court for determination of the title and observation made by the circle officer, Kunda is rejected.*

**AND/OR**

*Pass any other appropriate writ(s), order(s) as Your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case for doing conscionable justice to the petitioners.*

**3.** The petitioners claim to be the title holders of the land in question. The petitioners claim that their land should have been mutated in their name and the rent should be received from them and rent receipt be disbursed in their name. He submits that land has been wrongly recorded in the name of the private respondents. It is their case that ignoring the title of the petitioners, the State Authorities have rejected their Mutation Revision.

**4.** After hearing the parties, I find that it is an admitted case of the petitioners that the land has been recorded in the name of ancestor of the private respondents. The petitioners' mutation application has also stood rejected. The issue thus, revolves around declaration of the right, title and interest of the petitioners upon the land in question which can only be adjudicated in a suit before an appropriate Civil Court having jurisdiction and not in an

application under Article 226 of the Constitution. Thus, the petitioners are directed to approach the Civil Court for the declaration of their right, title and interest upon the land in question. Once the same is declared in favour of the petitioners, the petitioners can approach the Revenue Authorities for consequential reliefs.

**5.** With the aforesaid observations, this writ petition stands **disposed of**.

**(ANANDA SEN, J.)**

**06.05.2026**  
**S.K.D/CP-2**

**Uploaded on 12.05.2026**