

(2026:JHHC:13415)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
B.A. No. 3820 of 2026

Badal Kumar Samsi @ Badal Samasi, s/o Vijay Samsi, aged
about 25 years, resident of Bagbera Gandhi Nagar, Near Shiv
Mandir, P.O. & P.S.-Bagbera, Dist.-East Singhbhum,
Jamshedpur

...

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Jharkhand

...

Opposite Party

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitesh Kumar, Advocate

: Mr. Gaurav, Advocate

For the State

: Mr. Rakesh Kr. Sinha, Addl. P.P.

Order No.02 Dated- 06.05.2026

Heard the parties.

The petitioner has moved this Court for grant of bail in connection with S.T. No. 73 of 2026 arising out of Bagbera P.S. Case No.96 of 2025 (G.R. No. 14 of 2026) registered for the offences punishable under sections 109/3(5) of the B.N.S., 2026 and under Section 27 of Arms Act.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner in furtherance of common intention with the co-accused persons attempted to murder the informant by firing upon him. It is further submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are all false and though the alleged occurrence took place on 17.09.2025 and the informant claims to have been admitted as indoor patient in T.M.H. of Jamshedpur but the FIR was registered only on 20.09.2025. It is then submitted that there is an inordinate delay in lodging the FIR because when the admission of the informant as an indoor patient as per the case of the prosecution was made, the same being a medico legal case, the hospital authority is duty bound to intimate the police about the occurrence. It is also submitted that in the entire case diary, there is no report to suggest the contention of the informant that he sustained any injury. It is next submitted that the

petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been mentioned in paragraph no.07 of the bail application. It is then submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 13.10.2025, as has been mentioned in paragraph no. 07 of the bail application. It is further submitted that charge sheet has been submitted in this case but no witness has been examined as yet. It is next submitted that the petitioner undertakes to cooperate with the trial of the case and further undertakes that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case. Hence, it is submitted that the petitioner be admitted to bail.

The learned Addl. P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the submissions of the counsels and the fact as discussed above, I am inclined to enlarge the abovenamed petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.S.J.-I, Jamshedpur, in connection with S.T. No. 73 of 2026 arising out of Bagbera P.S. Case No.96 of 2025 (G.R. No. 14 of 2026) with the condition that the petitioner will cooperate with the trial of the case and will furnish his mobile number and a copy of his Aadhar Card in the court below with the undertaking that he will not change his mobile number during the trial of the case, with further condition that he will not annoy or disturb the witnesses of the case in any manner during the trial of the case.

(Anil Kumar Choudhary, J.)