

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI

B.A. No. 3867 of 2026

Ejaj Ansari, aged about 20 years, Son of Md. Akhatar Hussain
... .. **Petitioner**
Versus
The State of Jharkhand **Opposite Party**

CORAM :HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANUBHA RAWAT CHOUDHARY

For the Petitioner : Mr. Kamdeo Pandey, Advocate
For the Opp. Party-State : Mr. Rajneesh Vardhan, Advocate

03/07.05.2026

1. Heard the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 02.08.2025 in connection with POCSO Case No. 122 of 2025 arising out of Tisri P.S. Case No. 69 of 2025, for the alleged offence registered under Sections 126(2), 70(2) of BNS and Section 04 & 06 of POCSO Act and the charge has been framed for the offence under Section 137(2), 126(2), 70(2), 61(2) of BNS and Section 4 & 6 of POCSO Act pending in the court of learned Special Judge, POCSO Act, Giridih.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the mother and father of the victim have been examined and they have not supported the prosecution case. However, he submits that the victim has supported the prosecution case, but she has not identified the petitioner during her examination before the court. It further transpired that out of six prosecution witnesses, three were already examined by 17.03.2026.
4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the opposite party-State has opposed the prayer and has submitted that the victim has supported the prosecution case and she is also the informant of the case. The victim has identified the petitioner, but could not tell his name.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and considering the direct allegation made against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined enlarge the petitioner on bail.

6. The instant bail application is accordingly rejected.

7. However, the State is directed to ensure that the remaining witnesses are promptly produce before the court so that the trial is taken to a logical end.

8. Learned counsel for the State is directed to communicate this order to the Director, Prosecution as well as Superintendent of Police of the concerned district to ensure compliance.

9. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned through 'FAX/E-mail'.

(Anubha Rawat Choudhary, J.)

07.05.2026
Rakesh/-
Uploaded on:-08.05.2026