

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI**  
**Civil Revision Filing No. 4616 of 2026**

Hareram Singh

... **Objector-Petitioner**

- **Versus** -

1. Savitri Devi  
2. Anil Kumar  
3. Nisha Kumari

... **Decree holders – Opp. Parties**

-----  
**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRASAD**

-----

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Indrajit Sinha, Advocate Mr. Akhouri Awinash Kumar, Advocate
For the Opp. Parties	:	

**02/22.04.2026**

**1.** So far defect nos.43 & 175 are concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the same relates to Trial Court who had not given the particulars in the impugned order dated 01.04.2026 as the same may be ignored for the present.

**2.** It reveals from the impugned order that the full particulars of the parties have not been disclosed by the learned Trial Court i.e. Court of Ms. Avanika Gautam, learned Civil Judge (Senior Division-IV), Jamshedpur in Execution Case No.192 of 2023 and this appears to be a lacunae on the part of the Court below, let it be ignored for the present.

**3.** Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that despite passing the order dated 10.09.2025 passed in Misc. Case No.21 of 2015 under Order 21 Rule 97 of C.P.C., passed by Sri. Vishal Gaurav, learned Civil Judge, Senior Division, Jamshedpur in favour of the petitioner, the learned Court below vide order dated 01.04.2026 has directed the decree holders to take all permissible steps for execution of the decree and the Trial Court is proceeding with the execution case and Trial Court is proceeding further by ignoring the claim of the petitioner, who was not made a party even in Title Suit No.70/2005.

It is submitted that the petitioner is the purchaser from Bacchi Devi i.e. the original defendant, who had contested the T.S. No.70/2005 and which was decreed in favour of the opposite parties.

**4.** It appears that suit and decree was in favour of opposite parties on 16.01.2015, but the petitioner was not impleaded as party in the said Title Suit No.70/2005. However, learned Court below has rejected the petition filed under Section 151 CPC by the petitioner and has directed that execution proceeding in Execution Case No.192/2023 shall proceed forthwith by directing the decree holders to take all steps permissible in law for execution of decree with some other ancillary direction.

**5.** Issue notice to the opposite party nos.1 to 3 through Speed post with A/D as well as ordinary process for which requisites etc. must be filed by day after tomorrow, failing which this Civil Revision shall stand dismissed without reference to the Bench.

**6.** The petitioner is also permitted to serve notice in addition through dasti.

**7.** Put up this case on 6.05.2026.

**8.** Till then, Status quo be maintained before the parties in connection with Execution Case No.192/2023.

**(Sanjay Prasad, J.)**